In general, streamlining could only merge or delete duplicated languages, all the position especially option 0 should be remained as it is.

1. The preamble of the document is essential element for the new treaty, but the current text is not balanced. We have new language proposal and will be elaborated in detail in the sub contact group.

2. Referring to other MEAs, the objective should be as concise as possible, 1-2 sentences is enough. In the revised zero draft, option 2 includes all the contents of option 1, it is suggested to negotiate based on option 2.

3. The principle should be retained, there are some languages which is not principle but should be policies or tools obligations such as extending producer responsibility. We suggest to delete.

4. Scope is very important, because member states have different understanding to mandate of 5/14. If we look at existing MEAs, we could find when the controlled substances are broad, a standalone article of scope is needed, such as Basel Convention and Rotterdam Convention. There are 16 options in article of scope, however most of them are description language not text, we would like to propose streamline based on option 3 and option 13.

5. The elements of labeling, tracking, monitoring and transparency of hazardous chemicals under B2 of part II overlap the requirements under B13. It is suggested to merge those two.

6. B3bis of part II, there is placeholder now, it’s hard for us to understand that, actually microplastic is already included in different element, such as Part II 3, 8 and 11. To avoid duplication, we suggest to merge B3bis into other elements.

7. B4bis of part II, a question is that it maybe crosscutting with other elements, because other elements cover different life stage of plastic, dedicated programmes of work listed several sectors, however each sector may have life stage which covered by other element. So we concerns the necessity of 4bis.

8. Considering that EPR is one of the means to strengthen waste management, it is recommended that it be placed into B9 as a whole, rather than separate article. Correspondingly, the annex D is not needed, because there are different EPR in different countries, it’s better to formulate guidance or guidelines at later stage rather than confirmed in Annex.

9. The reporting and disclosure requirements to enhance the transparency under B13 overlap the elements of such in part IV. We suggest to merge all the national plan
and reporting terms under each topics of part II to the elements of part IV to ensure we have enough time on technical discussion in CG1.

10. 13bis of part II is overarching articles, it’s also not clear of the relationship with other elements. We reserve this element at this moment and want to hear more voices from other member states.

Of course, there are some options which are either duplicate or conflict, we would like to discuss details in sub contact group.