Esteemed Colleagues,

Regarding Part II:

We acknowledge the limited timeframe allocated to this contact group.

In light of this, we propose to prioritize discussions on existing text with areas closest to consensus. This will maximize our ability to deliver an outcome within the allotted time.

To streamline our work and avoid reopening areas of significant disagreement, we suggest focusing on the current text and avoid discussions on new proposals, including elements 1, 2, 3 and 7 of Part II, in addition to the newly proposed CRPs. Reopening these discussions could potentially regress on progress already made.

**On specific elements**, for increased efficiency, we propose the following amendments to be reflected in the streamlined text:

**Item 8 (Emissions and releases of plastics):** We propose the moving Item 8 to be nested under Item 9 (Waste management).

**Item 6 (Non-plastic substitution):** Similarly, we propose moving Item 6 to be nested under Item 5.d (Alternative plastics and plastic products).

**Item 13 (Transparency, tracking, monitoring and labelling):** We maintain our position to have no text on Transparency, tracking, and monitoring, and to move “labelling” to be nested under element 5a. (Product design)

We believe these relocations will enhance the organization and clarity of the document.

Point of clarification:

This delegation has observed instances within the text where various phrases are used often for Option Zero. These phrases include "No text," "no-provision on this matter," and "No standalone provision."

For the sake of clarity and consistency, we would appreciate confirmation that these phrases all signify the same position: the exclusion of the relevant provision from the final text.

Thank you.