Thank you, Dear Co-facilitator,

Scope part of the technical streamline document Türkiye iterates there is no need for any scope provision being included separately into the text since the UNEA resolution already emphasizes that the scope of the agreement. Therefore option 0 preferable.

However I would like to share our views on various options:

Option 1, if we need to list our thoughts on the new proposed text, the idea is that parties will be able to make clearer decisions once they first agree on definitions and categorization regarding the part which is "prioritizes and addresses the elimination of problematic, harmful and high-risk plastic categories and sustainable production and consumption of plastics".

In subparagraph "e", which includes suggestions for exceptional circumstances, there is a need for clarification what is meant by the expression "intermediate product" and “dual used items and since the language unity has a great importance we suggest the use of the terms we may reach at other MEAs and related international agreements.

Option 3 is favourable since it addresses the national circumstances and therefore, the country-focused approach was considered suitable.

Thank you.