1. National Plans

Para 1

Thank you, Mr and Madam co-facilitators.

First of all, we would like to congratulate you for your appointment as the co-facilitators in this sub group. We wish you the best in your work.

Mr. and Madam co-facilitators,

We would like to provide our views on national plans. To reiterate our statement made during the contact group yesterday, we see the national plan as the backbone of this treaty.
First, we see that OP1 alt 3 is the most streamlined and straightforward option that we could work with and covers all of the important aspects of national plan that the national plan shall be based on national circumstances and capabilities and nationally-driven.

Mr. and Madam co-facilitators,

Indonesia is also of the view that the provision in [OP1 Chapeau bis.] would support our underlining the importance of national circumstances and capabilities that national action plan should be based on best available knowledge and data, and developed in accordance with: (a) existing national laws and regulations and (b) domestic circumstances and capabilities. In this regard, we see the possibility to merge OP1 Chapeau bis and OP1 alt 3 in the effort to further streamline the text.

In regard to guidance, we see the governing body as the appropriate body to establish the guidance for the national plan as reflected in OP1. Alt3.

Mr. and Madam co-facilitators,

We believe that it is important to see some flexibilities to add elements in national plans for developing countries, in accordance with national circumstances, capacities, and capabilities as reflected in OP1 Chapeau ter.

Thank you.

**Para 2-7**

Thank you, Mr and Madam co-facilitators for giving me the floor again.

We would like to give our views regarding the rest of the paragraphs in this section. On paragraph 2, we are in view that a timeline to communicate the national action plan as reflected in paragraph 2 is important. However, we see that the specific year after the
entry into force of instrument as reflected in the last sentence of Paragraph 2 should be discussed later.

Next, we are also in support of OP2 bis. to ensure that the submission of national action plan is voluntary for developing countries before they have the necessary support to develop their national action plan.

On paragraph 3, Indonesia supports the provision on paragraph 3, since we see the importance of aligning long term and mid term strategies on developing targets and actions. In addition, we would also see the importance of flexibility to update the national action plan as when necessary as reflected in OP 3 bis Alt.

On paragraph 4 and 6, we prefer to have no text as the update of the national action plan is already mentioned in OP 3 bis Alt.

On paragraph 7, Indonesia is in support of this paragraph because we see the importance of a baseline to project the target and develop an effective national plan as well as reporting in the future. Also, we would like to emphasize the obligation of all parties to develop this national action plan. In this vein, we are also in support of OP7 bis alt. and OP7 ter.

Thank you.

2. Implementation and compliance

Thank you Mr and Madam co-facilitators.

We would like to first convey our support for your effort for streamlining the text.

And in this opportunity, we would like to add our views on the implementation and compliance section.
First of all, Indonesia is of the view that implementation and compliance mechanisms should be transparent, facilitative, non intrusive, and non adversarial. In this regard, we are of the view that the current paragraph 2 formulation is the most favorable for us. We are also able to positively consider OP2 bis and OP 2 ter, and we see that those two options can be merged with paragraph 2 for streamlining.

On paragraph 1, we are in support of OP1 as it encompasses the necessary elements that are needed to establish a review mechanism.

On paragraph 3, we prefer OP3 by considering the needs of developing countries.

On paragraph 4, we would support OP4 by also underlining the importance of the committee to examine implementation issues including challenges faced by developing states. Indonesia also supports OP4 bis.

On paragraph 5, we support OP5 in order to provide a timeline for the committee to govern on this body.

On paragraph 6, we support OP 6, but we would like this provision to focus on certain aspects, mainly that the committee may consider issues on the basis of (1) Written submissions from any Party with respect to its own compliance, and the (2) requests from the governing body.

However, we are open to listen more on the rationale and considerations of other delegations in including the other elements as reflected in the current text.

Thank you.